

SUMMARY OF ST. LOUIS MARKETS.

Just when it seemed that the bears had the market well in hand wheat shorts again entered the pit and caused a sharp advance in speculative values. Despite the fact that nearly all other grain centers were showing a decline, here shorts acted up sharply on the downtown. It appeared for a while that the long crop would be a heavy bulge was being let go. Weather and crop advices were generally favorable and there was a disposition to question the conclusions drawn from the Government crop report.

Receipts were again fairly large and offerings were free until the bull raid met it. Later reports by exporters said that business prospects were unexpectedly good and that the foreign drain on the output would be heavy, which sent the shorts scurrying, and from that time until the end of the session prices were steadily on the uptick.

Corn opened easy on crop and weather reports. Trading was light early in the session, but toward the close values advanced in line with wheat.

Sept. wheat opened 1/2¢ off and closed 1/2¢ up.

Sept. corn opened 1/2¢ off and closed 1/2¢ up.

Receipts of produce were moderate and prices for fruits and vegetables were generally steady. Potatoes were in better supply and lower.

Local securities were in good inquiry, but were so firmly held that few sales were possible. Values were firm to higher.

Yesterday's bank clearings were \$2,466,612, balances \$1,090,273. Discount rates were steady between 5 and 6 per cent.

Spot cotton was 1-1/2¢ lower in the local market.

GRAINS AGAIN STRONG AFTER EARLY LIQUIDATION.

BEARS ACTIVE AT THE OPENING.

Shorts Rush to Cover at Close and Force Prices Sharply Upward.

Following the bearish sentiment forecasted by the transactions on Tuesday's close and reported in yesterday's issue, the early market was opened on a note of liquidation. The selling side, immense amounts of wheat were dumped on the market and before the decline had reached its maximum, the shorts had been knocked off the previous day's advance. The same traders who had caused the big advance, at Tuesday's regular session again went to the front to sustain prices. They were successful in the last hour the local market responded to the strength shown in foreign and domestic centers and the feeling at the close was unmistakably bullish, though many operators were quick to take a favorable opportunity to apply the selling pressure which they believed would certainly be called for by crop conditions. September wheat opened 1/2¢ off and sold at 1.00 1/2¢.

Ernst's report of a heavy supply of wheat east of the Rockies for the past week at \$7.00 per bushel, and stocks in and about Chicago, at Tuesday's close, was making the world's visible supply decrease 1,345,000 bu.

Corn was weak early in the session on news from the Government crop report. News from nearly all of the States in the corn belt indicated favorable conditions yesterday morning. Selling was heavy and a raid on wheat was begun. Frightened shorts then hastened to cover and closing figures were well above those of the previous day.

Sept. corn opened 1/2¢ up and sold at 1.00 1/2¢.

Receipts of wheat at St. Louis were 22,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 21,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of corn at St. Louis were 26,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 25,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of oats at St. Louis were 20,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 19,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of rye at St. Louis were 10,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 9,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of barley at St. Louis were 5,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 4,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of clover at St. Louis were 2,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of timothy at St. Louis were 1,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 500 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of alfalfa at St. Louis were 500 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 250 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of sorghum at St. Louis were 1,000 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 500 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of millet at St. Louis were 500 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 250 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of buckwheat at St. Louis were 250 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 125 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 125 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 62 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 62 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 31 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 31 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 15 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 15 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 7 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 7 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 3 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 3 bushels, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 1 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/2 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 1/2 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/4 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 1/4 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/8 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 1/8 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/16 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 1/16 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/32 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 1/32 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/64 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 1/64 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/128 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 1/128 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/256 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 1/256 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/512 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 1/512 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/1024 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 1/1024 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/2048 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 1/2048 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/4096 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 1/4096 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/8192 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 1/8192 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/16384 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 1/16384 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/32768 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 1/32768 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/65536 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 1/65536 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/131072 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 1/131072 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/262144 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 1/262144 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/524288 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 1/524288 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/1048576 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 1/1048576 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/2097152 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 1/2097152 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/4194304 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 1/4194304 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/8388608 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 1/8388608 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/16777216 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 1/16777216 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/33554432 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 1/33554432 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/67108864 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 1/67108864 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/134217728 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 1/134217728 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/268435456 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 1/268435456 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/536870912 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 1/536870912 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/1073741824 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of speltz at St. Louis were 1/1073741824 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/2147483648 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of emmer at St. Louis were 1/2147483648 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/4294967296 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

Receipts of farro at St. Louis were 1/4294967296 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, against 1/8589934592 bushel, of which 1,000 bushels were cars local and 11 cars through, in 1901.

BULLS AND BEARS PLAY AT SEE-SAW IN THE GRAIN PIT.

HIGHER PRICES PREVAIL AT THE CLOSE.

Wheat Much Unsettled by Conflicting Reports, Especially From the Northwest.

Chicago, Aug. 13.—Grain markets were unsettled today, but toward the close higher prices prevailed. Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

Wheat was the main feature, and the market was characterized by a see-saw movement, with prices generally higher at the close than at the opening.

BULLS AND BEARS PLAY AT SEE-SAW IN THE GRAIN PIT.

HIGHER PRICES PREVAIL AT THE CLOSE.